Docusign Envelope ID: 7C2316D7-6CAC-8E31-8185-0BA0F09FBD5C

MINUTES OF MEETING
SAMPSON CREEK
COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT

The regular meeting of the Board of Supervisors of the Sampson Creek Community Development District was held on Thursday, **September 17, 2026** at 6:00 p.m. at the St. Johns Golf & Country Club, Meeting Room, 219 St. Johns Golf Drive, St. Augustine, Florida.

Present and constituting a quorum were:

Graham Leary	Vice Chairman
Lori Weitzel	Assistant Secretary
Kyle Geary	Assistant Secretary
Sheila Monroe	Incoming Supervisor

Also present were:

Daniel Laughlin	GMS
Wes Haber *(via phone)*	Kutak Rock, LLP
Stephanie Taylor	Vesta Property Services
Jason Davidson	Vesta Property Services
Kyle Carasea	Ruppert Landscape
Residents	

The following is a summary of the actions taken at the September 17, 2026 Board of Supervisors meeting of the Sampson Creek Community Development District.

FIRST ORDER OF BUSINESS **Roll Call**

Mr. Laughlin called the meeting to order at 6:00 p.m. All Supervisors were present with the exception of Mr. Yuro.

SECOND ORDER OF BUSINESS **Pledge of Allegiance**

The Pledge of Allegiance was recited.

Docusign Envelope ID: 7C2316D7-6CAC-8E31-8185-0BA0F09FBD5C

THIRD ORDER OF BUSINESS **Public Comment** *(regarding agenda items listed below)*

There being no comments, the next item followed.

FOURTH ORDER OF BUSINESS **Amenities Booking Requests**

Ms. Taylor presented an amenity booking request from Mr. Kevin Struble to use the amenity meeting room for LPA football photos and game-play review, from September 18, 2026 through November 18, 2026, from 4:00 p.m. to 7:00 p.m. The majority of LPA participants were residents, and attendance would be limited to a maximum of 30 people.

On MOTION by Mr. Leary seconded by Mr. Geary with all in favor the amenity booking request from Mr. Kevin Struble to use the amenity meeting room from 4:00 p.m. to 7:00 p.m. from September 18, 2026 to November 18, 2026 and waiving the rental fee was approved.

Ms. Taylor presented an amenity booking request from Mr. Tom Cherry to use the amenity meeting room for Knights of Columbus meetings from 6:30 p.m. to 8:00 p.m., on the third Tuesday of each month. There were no issues with residents or onsite staff during their prior use.

On MOTION by Ms. Weitzel seconded by Mr. Leary with all in favor the amenity booking request from Mr. Tom Cherry to use the amenity meeting room from 6:30 p.m. to 8:00 p.m. on the third Tuesday of each month and waiving the rental fee was approved.

Ms. Taylor presented amenity booking requests from the First Coast Tennis Association League (FCTAL). One league requested Tuesday mornings, while two leagues requested Thursday mornings; however, their requested times did not conflict, as one requested Friday mornings and the other requested Friday evenings.

Docusign Envelope ID: 7C2316D7-6CAC-8E31-8185-0BA0F09FBD5C

On MOTION by Mr. Leary seconded by Ms. Weitzel with all in favor the amenity booking request from Ms. Kim Loferski on behalf of the First Coast Tennis Association League for a Fall/Spring mixed doubles team to use three tennis courts on four to six Friday nights from 6:30 p.m. to 9:30 p.m. starting on September 11, 2026 and ending on December 18, 2026 and waiving the rental fee was approved.

On MOTION by Mr. Leary seconded by Ms. Weitzel with all in favor the amenity booking request from Ms. Nancy Scranton on behalf of the First Coast Tennis Association League for tennis league play on Thursdays starting on September 1, 2026 and waiving the rental fee was approved.

On MOTION by Mr. Leary seconded by Ms. Weitzel with all in favor the amenity booking request from Ms. Brenda Byman on behalf of the First Coast Tennis Association League to reserve four tennis courts from 8:30 a.m. to Noon on Fridays from September 11, 2026 to May 28, 2027 and waiving the rental fee was approved.

On MOTION by Mr. Leary seconded by Ms. Monroe with all in favor the amenity booking request from Ms. Jessica Shelton on behalf of the First Coast Tennis Association League to reserve four tennis courts from 8:15 a.m. to 1:00 p.m. on Fridays from September 15, 2026 to April 20, 2027 and waiving the rental fee was approved.

FIFTH ORDER OF BUSINESS Staff Reports

A. Landscaping Team

1. Report

Mr. Carasea of Ruppert Landscape (Ruppert) reported that the annual flowers would be replaced on Monday and placed on a tarp for residents to pick up. A micronutrient turf treatment had been completed, along with pre- and post-emergent treatments to prevent summer annual weeds and preventative insecticide treatment for chinch bugs and other turf-damaging insects. A fallen tree in a common area on Pepper Stone Court and a tree at the outflow structure had been cut up and the Hostas in front of the Gym had been removed. The Hibiscus were struggling and if they died, would be replaced with smaller plants. Cutbacks would begin in October, along with

Docusign Envelope ID: 7C2316D7-6CAC-8E31-8185-0BA0F09FBD5C

the pine straw application. Tree branches encroaching on the parking lot would be trimmed and ornamental grasses would be cut back as they turned brown.

Mr. Geary questioned whether the trees near the volleyball court would be trimmed. Ms. Taylor reported that she was obtaining a proposal from a tree vendor, as the branches requiring trimming were thick and outside of Ruppert's scope of work. Mr. Carasea indicated that he was meeting with a tree vendor the following day. Mr. Leary requested that Ruppert monitor the grasses near the Gym, as they grew quickly and required frequent mowing and requested that rain sensors be installed along CR 210 due to flooding. Ms. Taylor reported that smart irrigation was installed along a portion of Leo Maguire Parkway and St. Johns Golf Drive, which functioned similarly to a rain sensor. Mr. Carasea explained that the sensor detected weather conditions; however, if there was heavy rain for 15 minutes, the system did not automatically shut down. A proposal would be provided to install the battery-operated clocks on a pole.

Ms. Weitzel questioned whether the scalped grass had been addressed. Ms. Taylor indicated that Ruppert was now using push mowers to reduce scalping and had increased the mowing height to three inches. Ruppert was monitoring several areas of sod and would replace the sod if it did not improve. Mr. Carasea reported that some of the sod on Leo Maguire Parkway had been replaced; however, an area where the sod had not initially been replaced, subsequently required replacement due to root rot. Mr. Leary reported receiving complaints from residents who were being drenched by the irrigation while walking on Leo Maguire Parkway at 8:00 a.m. and questioned whether there was a timing issue. Mr. Carasea explained that the irrigation was running twice daily to support the new sod. Mr. Leary requested that the irrigation schedule be changed to 5:00 a.m. rather than 8:00 a.m.

2. Proposal for Shade Tolerant Landscaping at Amenity Center Exit

Ms. Taylor presented a proposal from Ruppert to extend the mulch beds at the Amenity Center exit and install shade-tolerant landscaping in the amount of $5,515. Mr. Leary reported that there was erosion in the area due to rainwater washing dirt onto the path.

On MOTION by Ms. Weitzel seconded by Mr. Leary with all in favor the proposal with Ruppert Landscaping for shade tolerant landscaping at the Amenity Center exit in the amount of $5,515 was approved.

Docusign Envelope ID: 7C2316D7-6CAC-8E31-8185-0BA0F09FBD5C

3. Proposal for Installation of Sod on Leo Maguire

Ms. Taylor presented a proposal from Ruppert for the installation of two pallets of St. Augustine sod on Leo Maguire Parkway in the amount of $1,307. Mr. Geary questioned whether the current sod was taking well. Mr. Carasea explained that the sod had been treated for wet worms and that Ruppert had changed sod vendors; however, there were still issues with weeds and root rot. Ruppert would replace any dead sod at their expense under the one-year warranty.

> On MOTION by Mr. Leary seconded by Ms. Weitzel with all in favor the proposal with Ruppert Landscaping for the installation of sod on Leo Maguire Parkway in the amount of $1,307 was approved.

- **Tennis Court Landscape Demo** *(Item 7D)*
- **Tennis Court Relandscaping** *(Item 7E)*
- **Tennis Court Rock and Sod** *(Item 7F)*
- **Tennis Court Drainage Improvements** *(Item 7G)*

Mr. Carasea presented a proposal to remove all of the hedges around both tennis courts, including two oak trees, in the amount of $12,10 or $6,959 if the trees were not removed. Mr. Carasea also presented a proposal to install St. Augustine sod and two feet of river rock around the sides and front of both tennis courts in the amount of $44,953, or $32,924 for a large mulch bed with plantings. There was a additional proposal in the amount of $35,305 to install channel drains around the entire tennis court area with corrugated piping.

Ms. Weitzel questioned whether the proposed prices were reasonable. Ms. Taylor explained that the removal of the oak trees was expensive due to the labor involved. However, repairing the drainage would be beneficial, as French drains would direct water from the tennis courts into pipes that carried it away from the courts. Mr. Carasea explained that drain boxes would capture surface water and prevent it from collecting along the edge of the courts. Mr. Davidson suggested placing metal edging around the rocks where they met the grass. Mr. Carasea would provide a proposal for the edging. Mr. Leary suggested installing sod directly to the edge of the courts. Ms. Taylor recommended removing all landscaping and fencing, resurfacing the courts, installing new fencing and repairing the drainage. Ms. Weitzel preferred

Docusign Envelope ID: 7C2316D7-6CAC-8E31-8185-0BA0F09FBD5C

completing the demolition first and selecting the rocks at a later time. Mr. Leary appreciated Mr. Carasea's explanation of the drainage but preferred to have a complete project plan.

- **Basketball Court Drainage Improvements** *(Item 7H)*

Mr. Carasea reported that the entire drainage line toward the roadside was clogged with dirt and recommended installing additional French drains and drainage boxes, removing the hill in the back, removing the dirt and plants around the oak trees and installing rocks to allow water to flow into the planted area. Mr. Leary expressed concern that children would pick up the rocks. Mr. Carasea clarified that the rocks would be installed underground and covered with sod.

B. Attorney

There being no comments, the next item followed.

C. Engineer

Mr. Laughlin noted that the District Engineer was not present, as the current contract with Matthews ended on November 30th, after which time Alliant would assume the District Engineer role. In the interim, Alliant had offered to respond to emails. Ms. Weitzel requested that Alliant be kept informed of ongoing matters.

D. District Manager

There being no comments, the next item followed.

E. General Manager

1. Amenities and Operations Report
2. Lake Doctors
3. Athletic Field Report

Ms. Taylor presented the Amenities and Operations, Lake Doctors, and Soccer Field Reports. She reported that the banners placed on six streetlight poles along CR 210 during the December holidays, had become worn and the brackets were breaking. MG Lighting was working with a vendor that provided banners to the City of St. Augustine to develop year-round banners. The cost for the brackets and signs was $2,115, plus a $300 annual installation charge, for a total of $2,415, to be paid from the holiday/decoration budget. Mr. Leary questioned

Docusign Envelope ID: 7C2316D7-6CAC-8E31-8185-0BA0F09FBD5C

whether the banners were necessary, as children riding bicycles sometimes hit them. Ms. Weitzel preferred to explore other options.

4. Proposals for Lifting Tree Canopies

Ms. Taylor presented proposals from Quill's Tree Services in the amount of $45,000 and Taylor Tree Services in the amount of $56,000, to lift tree canopies to 4 to 15 feet over roadways and 8 to 10 feet over sidewalks. The CDD had used Quill's Tree Services in the past and Mr. Davidson worked with them in several communities.

> On MOTION by Mr. Geary seconded by Ms. Monroe with all in favor the proposal from Quill's Tree Services to lift tree canopies in the amount of $45,000 were approved. (Motion Passed 3-1)

5. Proposal for Amenity Center and Cemetery Signs

Ms. Taylor presented proposals for the Amenity Center sign from Fast Signs in the amount of $12,463.84, Sundancer Sign Graphics in the amount of $7,950 and Arete in the amount of $8,775, for a 36 x 48 sign and $6,350 for a 30 x 42 sign. The cost of the signs had increased due to the price of aluminum. Arete, the current vendor, also provided a proposal to repaint the existing entranceway sign for $250, which was in good condition.

Mr. Leary preferred repainting the existing sign, as the wording was faded and needed to be changed from *"Country Club Swim and Tennis Center"* to *"Golf Club Swim and Tennis Center."* Mr. Laughlin suggested asking the golf course to contribute toward the cost of the sign. Ms. Taylor pointed out that if the Board did not want a larger sign, the wording could not be changed. Mr. Geary preferred repainting the existing sign and exploring improved lighting. Ms. Weitzel agreed, as she did not want to spend $9,000 on a sign that would primarily benefit the golf course. Mr. Leary preferred a larger sign with the updated wording.

> On MOTION by Ms. Monroe seconded by Mr. Geary with Ms. Weizel, Ms. Monroe and Mr. Geary in favor and Mr. Leary dissenting, the repainting of the Amenity Center sign by Fast Signs in the amount of $250 was approved. (Motion Passed 3-1)

Docusign Envelope ID: 7C2316D7-6CAC-8E31-8185-0BA0F09FBD5C

Ms. Taylor presented a proposal from Arete to install a cemetery sign at the intersection of Eagle Point Drive and Leo Maguire Parkway, as the existing sign was missing. Arete would replace the sign and install a bracket for $735. Mr. Geary pointed out that the CDD did not own the property. Ms. Taylor would contact the cemetery owner to determine whether they would pay for the replacement sign.

6. Proposal for Sidewalk Repairs

Ms. Taylor presented a proposal from Precision Sidewalk Safety for sidewalk repairs on Eagle Point Drive in a not-to-exceed amount of $28,000, to be funded from capital reserves. Ms. Weitzel questioned whether staff was maintaining a list of sidewalks requiring repair, as a former Board Member had questioned how the sidewalks were being identified. Ms. Taylor indicated that she maintained a project list, but due to shifting tree roots, some sidewalks on St. Johns Golf Drive needed to be re-shaved. At some point, the affected sidewalk panels would need to be replaced.

On MOTION by Ms. Weitzel seconded by Mr. Geary with all in favor authorization for Precision Sidewalk Safety to perform sidewalk repairs in an amount not-to-exceed of $28,000 was approved.

Ms. Taylor requested that the Board approve a not-to-exceed of $3,500, as she was speaking with two other vendors to replace two sidewalk panels at the school bus stop on Meadow View Lane.

On MOTION by Mr. Leary seconded by Ms. Weitzel with all in favor the replacement of two sidewalk panels on Meadow View Lane in an amount not-to-exceed of $3,500 was approved.

Ms. Taylor reported that she had researched equipment for virtual meetings. A sister location had conducted virtual meetings during renovations by installing a drop-down screen on one side of the room, a television on the opposite side, a camera to view half of the room and microphones for each Supervisor. One option was to offer Zoom, which allowed the audience to be muted. Ms. Taylor suggested purchasing microphones first, to allow residents to listen to

Docusign Envelope ID: 7C2316D7-6CAC-8E31-8185-0BA0F09FBD5C

meetings remotely and then obtaining camera equipment at a later time. Ms. Weitzel supported offering Zoom and having residents email questions to staff. Mr. Leary believed that the technology was the easier part and that the primary issue was managing the flow of the meeting.

Mr. Laughlin suggested using Meeting Owl, an all-in-one camera, microphone and speaker device, which cost $1,200. Mr. Davidson would contact a CDD that used Meeting Owl to borrow their equipment and evaluate how it worked. Mr. Leary did not feel that spending $1,200 to show a person speaking was worthwhile. A resident suggested that Board Members participate by video using their individual laptops and that residents be provided with links to the meetings. Mr. Leary requested that Vesta provide an outline of how a virtual meeting would operate and identify available technology options. Mr. Laughlin would include a Zoom link in the next agenda package.

Ms. Taylor reported that she would be meeting with vendors regarding the CR 210 entrance monument and provide renderings at the next month's meeting, with various price options for updating the tiles or landscaping and adding water features. Staff had contacted a pool leak detection company after noticing that the pool was using more chlorine than normal. Four leaks were identified around the pool lights, which were attributed to wear and tear. The leaks were patched and the line that feeds chlorine from the tank to the pool pump was replaced. Ms. Taylor also reported that the streetlight pole had been reinstalled and that she would meet with the golf course again regarding repainting the golf cart path.

Mr. Leary reported that a resident had contacted him regarding the condition of the bridge. Ms. Taylor understood that the golf course planned to use the vendor that maintained the CDD bridge and would follow up with the golf course. Mr. Leary requested that Ms. Taylor also discuss with the golf course, the path near the playground, which had been damaged by heavy equipment during the golf course greens renovation the previous year, as well as the area between the tennis court, path and starter shack that had been dug up and never repaired. If the golf course did not repair these areas by the next meeting, he requested that $5,000 be deducted from their next bill payment. Mr. Leary further requested drain cleaning around the community. Ms. Taylor reported that their maintenance person was handling that today. On October 1st, the maintenance person would be working full-time.

Mr. Laughlin reported no further incidents involving the non-resident coach who had been using the field. Resident Aleksandr Bidner of 1173 Stonehedge Trail Lane apologized to

Docusign Envelope ID: 7C2316D7-6CAC-8E31-8185-0BA0F09FBD5C

the Board for using the field when it was closed. Only three or four children used approximately one-third of the field to play soccer, and the coach was Mr. Bidner's friend and a former professional soccer player. They respected the rules regarding field placement when someone else was already using the field and asked to have the trespass lifted. Mr. Geary was in favor of keeping the trespass in place, as the coach had been aggressive toward residents by kicking balls at them, telling them they had to move and charging for his time. Mr. Bidner confirmed that he was not being charged by the coach but would speak with him regarding the matter.

7. Proposals for Meeting Room Flooring
8. Proposal for Repainting Meeting Room
9. Proposal for Meeting Room Ceiling

These items were discussed later in the meeting.

SIXTH ORDER OF BUSINESS **Ratification of Agreement with Alliant Engineering for Professional Engineering Services**

Mr. Laughlin recalled that the CDD issued a Request for Qualifications (RFQ) for engineering services and the Board awarded the engineering contract to Alliant. Since the prices were fair, compared with other engineering firms, the Chairman entered into a contract with Alliant.

On MOTION by Mr. Leary seconded by Mr. Geary with all in favor approval of the Agreement with Alliant Engineering for Professional Engineering Services was ratified.

SEVENTH ORDER OF BUSINESS **Discussion of Future Amenity Capital Improvements**

A. Pool Deck Renovation
B. Amenity Meeting Room Upgrade

Mr. Laughlin reported that he received an updated proposal from Urban Edge for the pool deck renovation and the price increased from $178,000 to $201,000. Mr. Leary requested that Mr. Laughlin update the summary sheet. Mr. Laughlin pointed out that one option was to obtain a more strategic bid from the new engineering firm.

Docusign Envelope ID: 7C2316D7-6CAC-8E31-8185-0BA0F09FBD5C

C. Tennis Court Resurfacing and Basketball Court Rebuild

Mr. Laughlin recalled that the proposals for tennis court resurfacing were $180,000 from Fast Dry and $181,000 from Nidy Court Services. Ms. Monroe questioned the amount of bond funds available. Mr. Laughlin confirmed that there was $499,000 in bond funds. Ms. Taylor questioned whether the Board favored resurfacing or rebuilding the courts. Ms. Weitzel recalled that, at the previous meeting, the Board had favored resurfacing. Mr. Leary noted that the cost difference between resurfacing and rebuilding the tennis courts was $228,000 and that rebuilding would provide an expected lifespan of 20 to 25 years. Ms. Taylor clarified that, with an asphalt base, the courts could last 25 to 30 years, while resurfacing would last approximately five to 10 years and could last 10 years if the drainage was redone.

D. Tennis Court Landscape Demo
E. Tennis Court Relandscaping
F. Tennis Court Rock and Sod
G. Tennis Court Drainage Improvements
H. Basketball Court Drainage Improvements

Ms. Taylor recalled that the landscape demolition was $12,102 and installing channel drains with corrugated piping around the entire tennis court area was $35,305, which the District Engineer recommended. The proposal from Mr. Carasea for French drains with corrugated piping was $35,258. Ms. Taylor asked if the Board wanted a mulch bed, similar to what was on St. Johns Golf Drive with flowers and mulch for $33,000 and rocks or sod for $45,000. Ms. Weitzel expressed concern about having to replenish the mulch and the potential for mulch to stain the courts brown and preferred sod. Resident Nancy Scranton of 1816 Forest Glen Way also expressed opposition to using mulch. Mr. Leary preferred having a buffer between the courts and the grass. Mr. Davidson suggested using pavers or decorative bricks to create a buffer.

The Board discussed whether to spend $427,000 for a complete rebuild of the tennis courts or resurfacing them. Mr. Geary felt that resurfacing made more sense. Ms. Weitzel felt that there was no point in resurfacing or rebuilding the courts without addressing the drainage. Mr. Leary favored spending approximately $228,000 to rebuild the courts and extend their expected lifespan to 20 years. Mr. Davidson suggested that the Board consider whether to resurface the courts for an additional five years or complete the entire project to achieve an expected 20-year lifespan, recalling that $30,000 had been spent to resurface the courts three

Docusign Envelope ID: 7C2316D7-6CAC-8E31-8185-0BA0F09FBD5C

years earlier. Ms. Weitzel pointed out that, whether the courts were resurfaced or rebuilt, the landscaping would need to be demolished and reinstalled and the drainage improved.

Mr. Davidson noted that the drainage improvement was needed, as well as landscaping and a resurfacing or full rebuild. The courts did not need to be joined, but the trees could be pulled out for now. As funding accumulates, the paver project could be budgeted for 2028 or 2029; however, costs would increase. Ms. Weitzel voiced concern about waiting as there would be new construction time and an inconvenience to players. Ms. Scranton believed that there would be a large cost in re-doing the fencing and then having to do it again. Mr. Leary wanted to do the project per the vision and requested designs and more information on the border with sod option. Mr. Geary questioned how invasive it would be to replace the courts in five to 10 years, if the Board chose to resurface the courts today. Mr. Davidson indicated that a portion of the landscaping must be removed, as well as some fence posts, in order for heavy equipment to access the courts.

Mr. Geary was in favor of resurfacing the tennis and basketball courts now, as well as anything additional, using the budget that they had, with $150,000 remaining for the amenity meeting room upgrade. Mr. Laughlin suggested taking $40,000 for the pool deck renovation from the capital, but there would not be enough funds for the amenity meeting room upgrade, other than flooring. Ms. Weitzel questioned the balance of the Capital Reserve Fund. Mr. Leary recalled that money was spent from this fund today for lifting the tree canopies and sidewalk repairs. Mr. Laughlin confirmed that $286,000 remained in the Capital Reserve Fund, with an additional $175,000 being added at the end of this month. Ms. Taylor pointed out that the basketball court resurfacing, including tables and umbrellas was $350,000, which would leave $145,000 in the bond funds that could be used for the pool deck pavers and re-landscaping, if the project was scaled down and the shade structure was eliminated.

Mr. Geary supported addressing the landscaping and irrigation, removing the trees, and improving the area, but expressed concern that there was only one meeting remaining with Mr. Leary and Mr. Yuro. Ms. Weitzel preferred to make a decision before Mr. Leary and Mr. Yuro left the Board and supported resurfacing the courts and joining them. Mr. Geary did not feel there was sufficient information to make a decision based on the current pricing. Mr. Leary requested additional information regarding the drainage and design plans for the proposed work Mr. Geary preferred to hear Mr. Yuro’s opinion and review a rendering of the proposed paver

Docusign Envelope ID: 7C2316D7-6CAC-8E31-8185-0BA0F09FBD5C

area. Mr. Davidson would provide renderings depicting the tennis court area with the trees removed, a shade structure added and the courts joined.

- **Proposals for Meeting Room Flooring** *(Item 5E7)*
- **Proposal for Repainting Meeting Room** *(Item 5E8)*
- **Proposal for Meeting Room Ceiling** *(Item 5E7)*

Ms. Taylor reported that she obtained proposals for the flooring, repainting and replacement of the ceiling for the meeting room. The flooring proposals ranged from $4,000 to $6,000 for luxury vinyl plant (LVP) flooring. Repainting of the meeting room and outside portion of the doors was $2,400 and $4,500 for the ceiling tiles and installation for a total amount ranging from $11,447 to $13,447.

Ms. Weitzel was in favor of using capital funds for the amenity meeting room. Mr. Geary preferred to wait another 30 days, but estimated spending $500,000 for everything, if they resurfaced the basketball and tennis courts, using $499,000 in bond funds and $60,000 from capital. Mr. Leary felt that the right thing to do for the community was to borrow $500,000 to renovate the pool deck, improve the amenity meeting room and repair the courts properly. Mr. Laughlin obtained two loan options; a delayed draw term loan of 60 months for $100,000 at a fixed rate of 6.25% or a line of credit for working capital, allowing the District to borrow and repay as needed at a 7.2% rate. *There was Board consensus to wait 30 days before making a final decision.*

Ms. Taylor reported that she had obtained proposals for flooring, repainting and replacement of the ceiling in the amenity meeting room. The flooring proposals ranged from $4,000 to $6,000 for luxury vinyl plank (LVP) flooring. Repainting the meeting room and the exterior portion of the doors was $2,400, and replacement of the ceiling tiles and installation was $4,500, for a total estimated cost ranging from $11,447 to $13,447.

Ms. Weitzel supported using capital funds for the amenity meeting room. Mr. Geary preferred waiting another 30 days but estimated that approximately $500,000 could be spent on all proposed improvements, if the basketball and tennis courts were resurfaced, using the $499,000 in bond funds and $60,000 from capital funds. Mr. Leary felt that the appropriate approach for the community would be to borrow $500,000 to renovate the pool deck, improve the amenity meeting room, and properly repair the courts. Mr. Laughlin obtained a loan option for a delayed-draw term loan for $100,000 over 60 months at a fixed rate of 6.25% or a working

Docusign Envelope ID: 7C2316D7-6CAC-8E31-8185-0BA0F09FBD5C

capital line of credit that would allow the District to borrow and repay funds as needed at a rate of 7.2%. *There was Board consensus to wait 30 days before making a final decision.*

EIGHTH ORDER OF BUSINESS **Contracts Review**

Mr. Laughlin would update the contract reviews list to include the Alliant engineering contract.

NINTH ORDER OF BUSINESS **Supervisors' Request**

There being no comments, the next item followed.

TENTH ORDER OF BUSINESS **Public Comments**

There being no comments, the next item followed.

ELEVENTH ORDER OF BUSINESS **Approval of Consent Agenda**

A. Minutes of August 20, 2026 Meeting
B. Financial Statements as of August 31, 2026
C. Check Register

Mr. Laughlin presented the minutes of the August 20, 2026 Board of Supervisors meeting, Financial Statements as of August 31, 2026 and Check Register for August 20, 2026, totaling $69,255.50.

On MOTION by Ms. Weitzel seconded by Mr. Geary with all in favor the Consent Agenda was approved.

TWELFTH ORDER OF BUSINESS **Discussion of Security Matters**

This item was tabled until the next meeting.

THIRTEENTH ORDER OF BUSINESS **Next Scheduled Meeting – October 15, 2026 @ 6:00 p.m. @ St. Johns Golf & Country Club Meeting Room**

Mr. Laughlin stated that the next meeting was scheduled for October 15, 2026 at 6:00 p.m. at this location.

Docusign Envelope ID: 7C2316D7-6CAC-8E31-8185-0BA0F09FBD5C

FOURTEENTH ORDER OF BUSINESS **Adjournment**

On MOTION by Ms. Weitzel seconded by Mr. Geary with all in favor the meeting was adjourned.

Signed by:
Daniel Laughlin
9A089FE07A6A46D...
Secretary/Assistant Secretary

Signed by:
Mike Yuro
E55AE2DB2E4642E...
Chairman/Vice Chairman